

# Online Course Materials:

Prepared by: MASIUR RAHAMAN SARDAR

Assistant Professor

Dept. of Mathematics

City College, 102/1 Raja

Rammohan Sarani, Kolkata

- 700009

Mob: 9830458374

email: sardarmasiur@gmail.com

Subject: Mathematics

Semester/Year: 1st Semester

Paper: CC2 (Theory)

Unit/Chapter/Module: Unit-2

Topic/Title: Some important Problems  
and solutions on Relation.

$S = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  and  $P$  is defined on  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  by

$(a, b) P (c, d)$  iff  $ad = bc$ ,  $(a, b), (c, d) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$

then find the nature of  $P$ .

Solution: Let  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  |  $(a, b) P (a, b)$   
 then  $a \cdot b = b \cdot a$  holds,  $\forall$  |  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$

$\Rightarrow (a, b) P (a, b)$  holds,  $\forall (a, b) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$   
 (by def<sup>n</sup> of  $P$ )

$\Rightarrow P$  is reflexive.

Let  $(a, b), (c, d) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  and  
 $(a, b) P (c, d)$ .

then  $(a, b) P (c, d) \Rightarrow a \cdot d = b \cdot c$   
 $\Rightarrow c \cdot b = d \cdot a$   
 $\Rightarrow (c, d) P (a, b)$

$\therefore P$  is symmetric.

Let  $(a,b), (c,d), (e,f) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ . and

$$(a,b) P (c,d) \text{ \& } (c,d) P (e,f).$$

then  $(a,b) P (c,d) \text{ \& } (c,d) P (e,f) \Rightarrow ad=bc$   
 $\text{\& } cf=de$

$$\Rightarrow adcf = bcde$$

$$\nRightarrow af = be \text{ (when } dc=0)$$

$$\text{ie } (a,b) \overline{P} (e,f)$$

For a counter example,

$$(1,2) P (0,0) \text{ and } (0,0) P (2,1)$$

$$\text{as } 1 \cdot 0 = 2 \cdot 0 \text{ \& } 0 \cdot 1 = 0 \cdot 2$$

$$\text{But } (1,2) \overline{P} (2,1) \text{ as } 1 \cdot 1 \neq 2 \cdot 2$$

Thus  $P$  is not transitive relation.  $P$  is only reflexive and symmetric relation.

3. Examine if the relation  $\rho$  on the set  $\mathbb{Z}$  is an equivalence relation

$$(a) \rho = \{ (a, b) \mid 3a + 4b \text{ is divisible by } 7 \}$$

$$(b) \rho = \{ (a, b) \mid |a - b| \leq 3 \}$$

Solution (a) Let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Then  $3a + 4a = 7a$  is divisible by 7

,  $\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}$

$\Rightarrow a \rho a$  holds,  $\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}$

$\Rightarrow \rho$  is reflexive.

Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $a \rho b$

Then  $a \rho b \Rightarrow 3a + 4b$  is divisible by 7

$\Rightarrow 3a + 4b = 7k$ , for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

$$\Rightarrow (7a - 4a) + (7b - 3b) = 7k$$

$$\Rightarrow 3b + 4a = 7(a + b - k)$$

$$\Rightarrow 3b + 4a = 7k_2 \quad \text{where } k_2 = a + b - k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$\Rightarrow 3b + 4a$  is divisible by 7

$\Rightarrow b \rho a$

$\therefore P$  is symmetric.

Let  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $a P b$  &  $b P c$

$$\text{Then } a P b \text{ \& } b P c \Rightarrow \begin{cases} 3a + 4b = 7k_1 \text{ and} \\ 3b + 4c = 7k_2, \text{ for} \\ \text{some } k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow 3a + 4c + 7b = 7k_1 + 7k_2$$

$$\Rightarrow 3a + 4c = 7(k_1 + k_2 - b)$$

$$\Rightarrow 3a + 4c = 7k_3 \quad \text{where} \\ k_3 = k_1 + k_2 - b \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\Rightarrow a P c.$$

$\therefore P$  is transitive. Thus  $P$  is an equivalence relation as  $P$  is reflexive, symmetric and transitive.

(b) Let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $|a - a| = 0 \leq 3, \forall a \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\Rightarrow a P a \text{ holds, } \forall a \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$\Rightarrow P$  is reflexive

Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $a P b$ . Then  $a P b \Rightarrow |a - b| \leq 3$

$$\Rightarrow |b - a| \leq 3$$

$$\Rightarrow b P a$$

$\therefore P$  is symmetric.

Let  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $a P b$  &  $b P c$ .

Then  $a P b$  &  $b P c \Rightarrow |a-b| \leq 3$  &  $|b-c| \leq 3$

This may not imply

$$|a-c| \leq 3.$$

For example,  $0 P -3$  and  $-3 P -5$

as  $|0 - (-3)| = 3 \leq 3$  and

$$|-3 - (-5)| = |-3 + 5| = 2 \leq 3$$

But  $0 \bar{P} -5$  as  $|0 - (-5)| = 5 \not\leq 3$ .

$\therefore P$  is not transitive and hence  $P$  is not equivalence relation.

Th. Let  $P$  be an equivalence relation on  $S$   
then  $a P b$  iff  $C(a) = C(b)$ .

Proof: Let  $a P b$ . We shall show that  $C(a) = C(b)$

Let  $x \in C(a)$ . Then  $a P x$   
 $\Rightarrow x P a$  ( $\because P$  is symmetric)

Since  $a P b$ , so by transitive property of  $P$  we  
get  $x P b \Rightarrow b P x \Rightarrow x \in C(b)$

$$\therefore C(a) \subseteq C(b) \text{ --- (I)}$$

Again let  $y \in C(b)$ . Then  $b P y$

$$\Rightarrow y P b \text{ (since } P \text{ is sym)}$$

Since  $a P b$ , so  $b P a$  (as  $P$  is symmetric),

$$\text{Then } y P b \text{ \& } b P a \Rightarrow y P a$$

$$\Rightarrow a P y$$

$$\Rightarrow y \in C(a)$$

$$\therefore C(b) \subseteq C(a) \text{ --- (II)}$$

From (I) & (II) we get

$$C(a) = C(b)$$

Conversely, let  $C(a) = C(b)$ . We shall show that  $a P b$

$$\text{Since } a \in C(a) = C(b)$$

$$\Rightarrow a \in C(b)$$

$$\Rightarrow a P a$$

$$\Rightarrow a P b \text{ (since } P \text{ is symmetric)}$$

Hence, the theorem is completed.

11. Let  $P$  be an equivalence relation on  $S$ . Then  
 prove that  $a \bar{P} b \iff C(a) \cap C(b) = \emptyset, a, b \in S$

Proof: Let  $a \bar{P} b$ . We shall show that  
 $C(a) \cap C(b) = \emptyset$ .

If possible, let  $C(a) \cap C(b) \neq \emptyset$ .

Then  $x \in C(a) \cap C(b), x \in S$

$\Rightarrow x \in C(a)$  and  $x \in C(b)$

$\Rightarrow a P x$  and  $b P x$

$\Rightarrow a P x$  and  $x P b$  (since  $P$  is sym)

$\Rightarrow a P b$  ( $\because P$  is transitive)

which contradicts the fact that  $a \bar{P} b$

Thus  $C(a) \cap C(b) = \emptyset$ .

Conversely, let  $C(a) \cap C(b) = \emptyset$ . We shall

show that  $a \bar{P} b$ .

If possible, let  $a P b$ . Then  $C(a) = C(b)$

Since  $a \in C(a) = C(b)$ , so  $a \in C(b)$

$\Rightarrow a \in C(a) \cap C(b)$

$\Rightarrow C(a) \cap C(b) \neq \emptyset$ , which contradicts the fact  $C(a) \cap C(b) = \emptyset$ .



thus a  $\bar{P}_b$ .

Hence the theorem is completed.

Th. Let  $S$  be a non-empty set and  $P$  be an equivalence relation on  $S$ . Then  $P$  yields a partition of  $S$ .

Proof: Since  $P$  is an equivalence relation on  $S$ , so we calculate  $C(a)$ , where  $a \in S$

$$\text{let } A = \{ C(a) \mid a \in S \}$$

Since we know that any two classes are either identical or disjoint. So, we collect all disjoint classes from the set  $A$ .

$$\text{let } B = \left\{ C(a_i) \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{where } a_i \in S, \\ i \in I \end{array} \right\} \text{ (where } I \text{ is any index of set)} \text{ be the}$$

collection of all distinct classes.

$$\text{then } \bigcup_{i \in I} C(a_i) = \bigcup_{a \in S} C(a) \quad \dots (1)$$

Since  $\bigcup_{a \in S} C(a) = S$ , so from (1) we

$$\text{get } \bigcup_{i \in I} C(a_i) = \bigcup_{a \in S} C(a) = S$$

$$\Rightarrow \bigcup_{i \in I} C(a_i) = S \dots (ii)$$

Again as  $C(a_i)$  ( $i \in I$ ) are all distinct

$$\text{so } C(a_i) \cap C(a_j) = \emptyset, (i \neq j) \dots (iii)$$

In view of (ii) & (iii), we say that  $B = \{C(a_i)$

$| i \in I \}$  form a partition of  $S$ .

Th. Let  $S$  be a non empty set and  $A = \{A_i | i \in I\}$ , where  $I$  is any index set, be a partition of  $S$ . Then show that there exist an equivalence relation on  $S$

Proof: We define a relation  $P$  on  $S$  as follows

$$x, y \in S, x P y \text{ iff } x, y \in A_i, \text{ for some } i \in I$$

Let  $a \in S$ . Since  $A = \{A_i | i \in I\}$  form a partition of  $S$ , so  $\exists$  <sup>unique</sup>  $k \in I$  s.t

$$a \in A_k$$

$$\Rightarrow a, a \in A_k$$

$\Rightarrow a P a$  holds,  $\forall a \in S$ . so  $P$  is reflexive.

Let  $a, b \in S$  s.t.  $a P b$ .

Then  $a P b \Rightarrow a, b \in A_i$ , for some  $i \in I$

$\Rightarrow b, a \in A_i$ , for some  $i \in I$

$\Rightarrow b P a$ .

$\therefore P$  is symmetric.

Let  $a, b, c \in S$  s.t.  $a P b$  &  $b P c$

Then  $a P b \Rightarrow a, b \in A_i$  for some  $i \in I$

&  $b P c \Rightarrow b, c \in A_j$  for some  $j \in I$

Then we find that  $b \in A_i$  &  $b \in A_j$ , since

$A = \{ A_i \mid i \in I \}$  form a partition of

$S$ , so it follows that  $A_i = A_j$ , thus

~~$a, b \in$~~   $a P b$  &  $b P c \Rightarrow a, b \in A_i$  and  
 $b, c \in A_i$ ,

for some  $i \in I$

$\Rightarrow a, c \in A_i$ , for some  
 $i \in I$

$\Rightarrow a P c$ .

Thus  $P$  is ~~an~~ transitive  
equivalence relation on

$S$ . Hence  $P$  is an  
equivalence relation. ~~Then~~, the theorem is  
complete.

C-H-2008 Give an example (with justification) of a relation which is symmetric but neither reflexive nor transitive.

Solution: Let  $S = \{1, 2, 3\}$ .

Then  $S \times S = \{ (1,1), (1,2), (1,3), (2,1), (2,2), (2,3), (3,1), (3,2), (3,3) \}$

Let  $P = \{ \text{~~(1,1)~~, (2,2), (1,3), (3,1) \}$

Then  $P$  is a subset of  $S \times S$ . So,  $P$  is relation on  $S$ .

(i) Since  $1 \in S$  and  $1 \notin P$ , so  $P$  is not reflexive.

(ii) Since  $a P b \Rightarrow b P a$  where  $a, b \in S$ , so  $P$  is symmetric.

(iii) Since  $1 P 3$  &  $3 P 1$ , but  $1 \notin P$ , so  $P$  is not transitive.

S.K. Mapa

(2). Find the nature of  $P$  where  $P$  is defined on  $\mathbb{Z}$  by " $a P b$  iff  $a - b < 3$ " where  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Solution: (i) Let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$

Then  $a - a = 0 < 3 \forall a \in \mathbb{Z}$

So by def<sup>n</sup> of  $P$ ,  $a P a$  holds,  $\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Hence  $P$  is reflexive.

(ii) Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  s.t.  $a P b$

Then  $a P b \Rightarrow a - b < 3$

$\nRightarrow b - a < 3$  (always)

ie  $a P b \nRightarrow b P a$ , (always) for example

$0 P 7$  as  $0 - 7 = -7 < 3$  but

$\nexists \overline{P} 0$  as  $7 - 0 = 7 \not< 3$

$\therefore P$  is not symmetric.

(iii) Let  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$  s.t.  $a P_b$  &  $b P_c$   
then  $a P_b$  and  $b P_c \Rightarrow a - b < 3$  and  $b - c < 3$   
 $\nRightarrow a - c < 3$  (always)

i.e.  $a P_b$  and  $b P_c \nRightarrow a P_c$  (always),

for example  $6 P_4$  and  $4 P_2$  as  $6 - 4 = 2 < 3$   
and  $4 - 2 = 2 < 3$ , but  $6 \overline{P}_2$  as

$$6 - 2 = 4 \not< 3.$$

$\therefore P$  is not transitive

Thus  $P$  is only reflexive relation on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

2(a) Let  $S$  be the set of all lines on a plane  
and  $P$  is defined on  $S$  by " $l P_m$  iff  $l$  is  
perpendicular to  $m$ " where  $l, m \in S$ . Find the  
nature of  $P$

Solution: (i) Let  $l \in S$ . Then  $l$  is not perpen-  
dicular to itself, so  $l \overline{P}_l, \forall l \in S$

ie  $P$  is not reflexive on  $S$

(ii) let  $l, m \in S$  and  $l P m$

then  $l P m \Rightarrow l$  is perpendicular to  $m$

$\Rightarrow m$  is perpendicular to  $l$

$\Rightarrow m P l$

So,  $P$  is symmetric on  $S$ .

(iii) let  $l, m, n \in S$  and  $l P m$  &  $m P n$

then  $l P m$  &  $m P n \Rightarrow l$  is perpendicular to  $m$

and  $m$  is perpendicular to  $n$

$\Rightarrow l$  is parallel to  $n$

$\Rightarrow l$  is not perpendicular to  $n$

$\Rightarrow l \bar{P} n$

So  $P$  is not transitive.

(Counter example: let  $p: x=0$ ,  $m: y=0$

$n: x=1 \in S$

Since  $l$  is perpendicular to  $m$ , so  $l \perp m$ .  
again as  $m$  is perpendicular to  $n$ , so  $m \perp n$ ,  
but as  $l$  &  $n$  are parallel, not perpendicular,  
so  $l \not\perp n$ )

1 (iii) a relation  $P$  on  $\mathbb{Z}$  defined by "  
 $a P b$  iff  $a^2 + b^2$  is a multiple of 2" where  
 $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then find the nature of  $P$ .

Solution: (i) let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $a^2 + a^2$   
 $= 2a^2$ , a multiple of 2,  $\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}$

so  $a P a$  holds,  $\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}$

$\therefore P$  is reflexive.

(ii) let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $a P b$

then  $a P b \Rightarrow a^2 + b^2 = 2k$ , for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$\Rightarrow b^2 + a^2 = 2k$ , where  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$



$$\Rightarrow bPa.$$

So,  $P$  is symmetric.

(iii) Let  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $aPb$  and  $bPc$

Now,  $aPb$  and  $bPc \Rightarrow a^2 + b^2 = 2K_1$  &  $b^2 + c^2 = 2K_2$   
where  $K_1, K_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\Rightarrow a^2 + 2b^2 + c^2 = 2K_1 + 2K_2$$

$$\Rightarrow a^2 + c^2 = 2(K_1 + K_2 - b^2)$$

$\Rightarrow a^2 + c^2$  is a multiple of 2 as

~~$\Rightarrow a^2 + c^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$~~   $\Rightarrow K_1 + K_2 - b^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\Rightarrow aPc$$

So,  $P$  is transitive. Since  $P$  is reflexive, symmetric and transitive, so  $P$  is an equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

7 (i) Let  $S$  be a finite set containing two elements. How many different binary relations can be defined on  $S$ ? How many of these are reflexive?

(ii) Show that the number of different reflexive relations on a set of  $n$  elements is  $2^{n^2 - n}$ .

Solution: (i) Since  $S$  contains two elements, so  $S \times S$  contains  $2 \times 2 = 4$  elements. Since any subset of  $S \times S$  is a relation on  $S$ , so there are  $2^4 = 16$  binary relations on  $S$ .

2nd part: Since any reflexive relation  $\rho$  on  $S$  contains the elements  $(a, a), (b, b)$  where  $S = \{a, b\}$ , so there are only 2 elements namely  $(a, b), (b, a)$  in  $S \times S$ , which may or may not be in  $\rho$ . So, total no of reflexive relations is  ${}^2C_0 + {}^2C_1 + {}^2C_2$   
 $= 4.$

(ii) Since  $S$  contains  $n$  elements, <sup>so let  $S = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$</sup>   
then  $S \times S$  contains  $n \times n = n^2$  elements.

Since any reflexive relation  $\rho$  on  $S$  must  
contains  $(a_1, a_1), (a_2, a_2), (a_3, a_3) \dots (a_n, a_n)$   
So there ~~are~~ <sup>are</sup>  $(n^2 - n)$  elements which  
may or may not be in  $\rho$ .  
So, total no of reflexive relation is

$$\begin{aligned} & (n^2 - n) C_0 + (n^2 - n) C_1 + (n^2 - n) C_2 + \dots + (n^2 - n) C_{(n^2 - n)} \\ &= \left(1 + 1\right)^{n^2 - n} = 2^{n^2 - n} \quad (\text{proved}). \end{aligned}$$

4 A relation  $\beta$  is defined on  $\mathbb{Z}$  by  $x \beta y$  iff  $x^2 - y^2$  is divisible by 5,  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Prove that  $\beta$  is an equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Show that there are three distinct equivalence classes.

Solution: (i) Let  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $x^2 - x^2 = 0$  is divisible by 5,  $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\Rightarrow x \beta x \text{ holds, } \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}$$

so  $\beta$  is reflexive

(ii) Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $x \beta y$

$$\text{Then } x \beta y \Rightarrow x^2 - y^2 = 5k, \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\Rightarrow y^2 - x^2 = 5(-k)$$

$$\Rightarrow y^2 - x^2 \text{ is divisible by } 5 \text{ as } -k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\Rightarrow y \beta x$$

so  $\beta$  is symmetric

(iii) Let  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $x \beta y$  &  $y \beta z$

Then  $x \beta y$  &  $y \beta z \Rightarrow x^2 - y^2 = 5k_1$  &  $y^2 - z^2 = 5k_2$

where  $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\Rightarrow x^2 - z^2 = 5(k_1 + k_2)$$

$\Rightarrow x^2 - z^2$  is divisible by 5

as  $k_1 + k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\Rightarrow x \beta z$$

so  $\beta$  is transitive, As  $\beta$  is reflexive, symmetric and transitive, so  $\beta$  is an equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

2nd part:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(0) &= \{ x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x \beta 0 \} \\ &= \{ x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x^2 \text{ is divisible by } 5 \} \\ &= \{ 0, \pm 5, \pm 10, \pm 15, \dots \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(1) &= \{ x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x \beta 1 \} \\ &= \{ x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x^2 - 1 \text{ is divisible by } 5 \} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \{ \pm 1, \pm 4, \pm 6, \pm 9, \pm 11, \dots \}$$

$$u(2) = \{ x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x \beta_2 \}$$

$$= \{ x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x^2 - 4 \text{ is divisible by } 5 \}$$

$$= \{ \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 7, \pm 8, \pm 12, \pm 13, \dots \}$$

$$u(3) = \{ x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x \beta_3 \}$$

$$= \{ x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x^2 - 9 \text{ is divisible by } 5 \}$$

$$= \{ \cancel{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 7, \pm 8, \dots \}$$

$$= u(2)$$

$$u(4) = \{ x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x \beta_4 \}$$

$$= \{ x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x^2 - 16 \text{ is divisible by } 5 \}$$

$$= \{ \pm 1, \pm 4, \pm 6, \pm 9, \dots \}$$

Then we see that  $C(0)$ ,  $C(1)$  &  $C(2)$  are disjoint. Also we see that

$$C(0) \cup C(1) \cup C(2) = \mathbb{Z}, \text{ so } \text{the set}$$

of complete distinct classes are  ~~$C(0)$~~  is  $\{C(0), C(1), C(2)\}$ . Hence there are three distinct classes.

1A (a) Find the domain and range of the binary relation  
 $A = \{ (a, b) \mid b \geq a^2; a, b \in \mathbb{R} \}$

Solution: Since  $b \geq a^2$ , so for any real no  $a$ ,  $b \geq 0$ .

So, domain of  $A = \{ a : a \in \mathbb{R} \} = \mathbb{R}$  and co-domain  
of  $A = \{ b \in \mathbb{R} : b \geq 0 \} = \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$ , where  $\mathbb{R}^+$  is the set of all positive real nos.

(b) Prove that intersection of two equivalence relation on a non empty set  $A$  is an equivalence relation, what happens if we consider union instead of intersection?

Solution: Let  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  be two equivalence relation on a non empty set  $A$ . We shall show that  $P_1 \cap P_2$  is equivalence relation on  $A$ .

Since  $P_1$  &  $P_2$  are both equivalence relation on  $A$ , so  
 $(a, a) \in P_1$  and  $(a, a) \in P_2, \forall a \in A$   
 $\Rightarrow (a, a) \in P_1 \cap P_2, \forall a \in A$ .

$\Rightarrow P_1 \cap P_2$  is reflexive.

Let  $a, b \in A$  and  $(a, b) \in P_1 \cap P_2$ . Then  $(a, b) \in P_1$  and  $P_2$   
then  $(b, a) \in P_1$  and  $P_2$  ( $\because P_1$  &  $P_2$  both are ~~not~~ symmetric)

$\Rightarrow (b, a) \in P_1 \cap P_2$

$\Rightarrow P_1 \cap P_2$  is symmetric.

Let  $a, b, c \in A$  and  $(a, b) \in P_1 \cap P_2$  and  $(b, c) \in P_1 \cap P_2$ .

Then  $(a, b), (b, c) \in P_1$  and  $P_2$

$\Rightarrow (a, c) \in P_1$  and  $P_2$  ( $\because P_1$  &  $P_2$  both are transitive)



$$\Rightarrow (a, c) \in P_1 \cap P_2$$

$\Rightarrow P_1 \cap P_2$  is Transitive

Thus  $P_1 \cap P_2$  is an equivalence relation on  $A$  as it is reflexive, symmetric and transitive.

2nd part : If we consider union instead of intersection, the result may be false.

For example, we consider  $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $P_1 = \{(1, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3), (1, 2), (2, 1)\}$ ,  $P_2 = \{(1, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3), (1, 3), (3, 1)\}$

Then  $P_1$  &  $P_2$  are two equivalence relations on  $A$ , but

$P_1 \cup P_2 = \{(1, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3), (1, 2), (2, 1), (1, 3), (3, 1)\}$   
is not transitive as  $(2, 1), (1, 3) \in P_1 \cup P_2$  but  $(2, 3) \notin P_1 \cup P_2$

Thus  $P_1 \cup P_2$  is not an equivalence relation on  $A$ .

2. (a)  $S$  is the set of all lines on a plane and  $\perp$  is defined on  $S$  by " $l \perp m$  iff  $l$  is perpendicular to  $m$ " for  $l, m \in S$ .

Solution: (i) let  $l \in S$ . then  $l$  is not perpendicular to  $l$ , so  $l \not\perp l, \forall l \in S$ .  
 $\therefore \perp$  is not reflexive relation on  $S$ .

(ii) let  $l \perp m, l, m \in S$ .  
then  $l \perp m \Rightarrow l$  is perpendicular to  $m$   
 $\Rightarrow m$  is perpendicular to  $l$   
 $\Rightarrow m \perp l$

$\therefore \perp$  is symmetric relation on  $S$ .

(iii) let  $l \perp m$  and  $m \perp n, l, m, n \in S$ .  
then  $l \perp m$  and  $m \perp n \Rightarrow l$  is perpendicular to  $m$  and  $m$  is perpendicular to  $n$   
 $\Rightarrow l$  is <sup>parallel</sup> perpendicular to  $n$  always as  $l, m, n$  are coplanar  
 $\Rightarrow l \perp n$

$\therefore f$  is not transitive.

Thus  $f$  is ~~only~~ symmetric on  $S$  but neither reflexive nor transitive.